

A GEL'FOND TYPE CRITERION IN DEGREE TWO

BENOIT ARBOUR AND DAMIEN ROY

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ξ be any real number and let n be a positive integer. Defining the *height* $H(P)$ of a polynomial P as the largest absolute value of its coefficients, an application of Dirichlet box principle shows that, for any real number $X \geq 1$, there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree at most n and height at most X which satisfies

$$|P(\xi)| \leq cX^{-n}$$

for some suitable constant $c > 0$ depending only on ξ and n . Conversely, Gel'fond's criterion implies that there are constants $\tau = \tau(n)$ and $c = c(\xi, n) > 0$ with the property that if, for any real number $X \geq 1$, there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ with

$$\deg(P) \leq n, \quad H(P) \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad |P(\xi)| \leq cX^{-\tau}$$

then ξ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree at most n . For example, Brownawell's version of Gel'fond's criterion in [1] implies that the above statement holds with any $\tau > 3n$, and the more specific version proved by Davenport and Schmidt as Theorem 2b of [4] shows that it holds with $\tau = 2n - 1$. On the other hand, the above application of Dirichlet box principle implies $\tau \geq n$. So, if we denote by τ_n the infimum of all admissible values of τ for a fixed $n \geq 1$, then we have $\tau_1 = 1$ and, in general

$$n \leq \tau_n \leq 2n - 1.$$

In the case of degree $n = 2$, the study of a specific class of transcendental real numbers in [6] provides the sharper lower bound $\tau_2 \geq \gamma^2$ where $\gamma = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ denotes the golden ratio (see Theorem 1.2 of [6]). Our main result below shows that we in fact have $\tau_2 = \gamma^2$ by establishing the reverse inequality $\tau_2 \leq \gamma^2$:

Theorem. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that for any sufficiently large positive real number X there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree at most 2 and height at most X such that*

$$(1) \quad |P(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{4}X^{-\gamma^2}.$$

Then ξ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree at most 2.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J13; Secondary 11J82.

Work partially supported by NSERC and CICMA.

Comparing this statement with Theorem 1.2 of [6], we see that it is optimal up to the value of the multiplicative constant $1/4$ in (1). Although we do not know the best possible value for this constant, our argument will show that it can be replaced by any real number c with $0 < c < c_0 = (6 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{\gamma}})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cong 0.253$. As the reader will note, our proof, given in Section 3 below, has the same general structure as the proof of the main result of [3] and the proof of Theorem 1a of [4].

Following the method of Davenport and Schmidt in [4] combined with ideas from [2] and [7], we deduce the following result of simultaneous approximation of a real number by conjugate algebraic numbers:

Corollary. *Let ξ be a real number which is not algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree at most 2. Then, there are arbitrarily large real numbers $Y \geq 1$ for which there exist an irreducible monic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree 3 and an irreducible polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree 2, both of which have height at most Y and admit at least two distinct real roots whose distance to ξ is at most $cY^{-(3-\gamma)/2}$, with a constant c depending only on ξ .*

The proof of this corollary is postponed to Section 4 below.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We collect here several lemmas which we will need in the proof of the theorem. The first one is a special case of the well-known Gel'fond's lemma for which we computed the optimal values of the constants.

Lemma 1. *Let $L, M \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ be polynomials of degree at most 1. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} H(L)H(M) \leq H(LM) \leq 2H(L)H(M).$$

The second result is an estimate for the resultant of two polynomials of small degree.

Lemma 2. *Let $m, n \in \{1, 2\}$, and let P and Q be non-zero polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ with $\deg(P) \leq m$ and $\deg(Q) \leq n$. Then, for any complex number ξ , we have*

$$|\text{Res}(P, Q)| \leq H(P)^n H(Q)^m \left(c(m, n) \frac{|P(\xi)|}{H(P)} + c(n, m) \frac{|Q(\xi)|}{H(Q)} \right)$$

where $c(1, 1) = 1$, $c(1, 2) = 3$, $c(2, 1) = 1$ and $c(2, 2) = 6$.

The proof of the above statement is easily reduced to the case where $\deg(P) = m$ and $\deg(Q) = n$. The conclusion then follows by writing $\text{Res}(P, Q)$ as a Sylvester's determinant and by arguing as Brownawell in the proof of lemma 1 of [1] to estimate this determinant.

The third lemma may be viewed, for example, as a special case of Lemma 13 of [5].

Lemma 3. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be non-zero polynomials of degree at most 2 with greatest common divisor $L \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree 1. Then, for any complex number ξ , we have*

$$H(L)|L(\xi)| \leq \gamma(H(P)|Q(\xi)| + H(Q)|P(\xi)|).$$

Proof. The quotients P/L and Q/L being relatively prime polynomials of $\mathbb{Z}[T]$, their resultant is a non-zero integer. Applying Lemma 2 with $m = n = 1$ and using Lemma 1, we then deduce, if $L(\xi) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |\text{Res}(P/L, Q/L)| &\leq H(P/L)|(Q/L)(\xi)| + H(Q/L)|(P/L)(\xi)| \\ &\leq \gamma \frac{H(P)}{H(L)} \frac{|Q(\xi)|}{|L(\xi)|} + \gamma \frac{H(Q)}{H(L)} \frac{|P(\xi)|}{|L(\xi)|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $P, Q, R \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ be arbitrary polynomials of degree at most 2. Then, writing the coefficients of these polynomials as rows of a 3×3 matrix, we have*

$$|\det(P, Q, R)| \leq 2H(P)H(Q)H(R) \left(\frac{|P(\xi)|}{H(P)} + \frac{|Q(\xi)|}{H(Q)} + \frac{|R(\xi)|}{H(R)} \right)$$

This above statement follows simply by observing, as in the proof of Lemma 4 of [3], that the determinant of the matrix does not change if, in this matrix, we replace the constant coefficients of P , Q and R by the values of these polynomials at ξ .

We also construct a sequence of “minimal polynomials” similarly as it is done in §3 of [3]:

Lemma 5. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and a sequence of non-zero polynomials $(P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree at most 2 such that, for each $i \geq 1$, we have:*

- $H(P_i) = X_i$,
- $|P_{i+1}(\xi)| < |P_i(\xi)|$,
- $|P_i(\xi)| \leq |P(\xi)|$ for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ with $\deg(P) \leq 2$ and $0 < H(P) < X_{i+1}$,
- P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. For each positive integer X , define p_X to be the smallest value of $|P(\xi)|$ where $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree ≤ 2 and height $\leq X$. This defines a non-decreasing sequence $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq \dots$ of positive real numbers converging to 0. Consider the sequence $X_1 < X_2 < \dots$ of indices $X \geq 2$ for which $p_{X-1} > p_X$. For each $i \geq 1$, there exists a polynomial $P_i \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree ≤ 2 and height X_i with $|P_i(\xi)| = p_i$. The sequences $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ clearly satisfy the first three conditions. The last condition follows from the fact that the polynomials P_i are primitive of distinct height. □

Lemma 6. *Assume, in the notation of Lemma 5, that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X_{i+1} |P_i(\xi)| = 0.$$

Then there exist infinitely many indices $i \geq 2$ for which P_{i-1} , P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that P_{i-1}, P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Then the subspace V of $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ generated by P_{i-1} and P_i is independent of i for $i \geq i_0$. Let $\{P, Q\}$ be a basis of $V \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$. Then, for each $i \geq i_0$, we can write

$$P_i = a_i P + b_i Q$$

for some integers a_i and b_i of absolute value at most cX_i , with a constant $c > 0$ depending only on P and Q . Since P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly independent, we get

$$1 \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ a_{i+1} & b_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \frac{|a_i P_{i+1}(\xi) - a_{i+1} P_i(\xi)|}{|Q(\xi)|} \leq \frac{2c}{|Q(\xi)|} X_{i+1} |P_i(\xi)|$$

in contradiction with the hypothesis as we let i tend to infinity. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let c be a positive real number and let ξ be a complex number with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$. Assume that, for any sufficiently large real number X , there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree ≤ 2 and height $\leq X$ with $|P(\xi)| \leq cX^{-\gamma^2}$. We will show that these conditions imply $c \geq c_0 = (6 \cdot 2^{1/\gamma})^{-1/\gamma} > 1/4$, thereby proving the theorem.

Let c_1 be an arbitrary real number with $c_1 > c$. By virtue of our hypotheses, the sequences $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ given by Lemma 5 satisfy

$$|P_i(\xi)| \leq cX_{i+1}^{-\gamma^2}$$

for any sufficiently large i . Then, by Lemma 6, there exists infinitely many i such that P_{i-1} , P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly independent. For such an index i , the determinant of these three polynomials is a non-zero integer and, applying Lemma 4, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |\det(P_{i-1}, P_i, P_{i+1})| &\leq 2X_{i-1}X_iX_{i+1} \left(\frac{|P_{i-1}(\xi)|}{X_{i-1}} + \frac{|P_i(\xi)|}{X_i} + \frac{|P_{i+1}(\xi)|}{X_{i+1}} \right) \\ &\leq 2cX_i^{-\gamma}X_{i+1} + 4cX_{i+1}^{1-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that i is sufficiently large, this implies

$$(2) \quad X_i^\gamma \leq 2c_1 X_{i+1}.$$

Suppose first that P_i and P_{i+1} are not relatively prime. Then, their greatest common divisor is an irreducible polynomial $L \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree 1, and Lemma 3 gives

$$(3) \quad H(L)|L(\xi)| \leq \gamma \left(X_i |P_{i+1}(\xi)| + X_{i+1} |P_i(\xi)| \right) \leq 2\gamma c X_{i+1}^{-\gamma}.$$

Since P_{i-1} , P_i and P_{i+1} are linearly independent, the polynomial L does not divide P_{i-1} and so the resultant of P_{i-1} and L is a non-zero integer. Applying Lemma 2 then gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |\text{Res}(P_{i-1}, L)| &\leq H(P_{i-1})H(L)^2 \left(\frac{|P_{i-1}(\xi)|}{H(P_{i-1})} + 3 \frac{|L(\xi)|}{H(L)} \right) \\ &\leq cX_i^{-\gamma^2} H(L)^2 + 3X_{i-1}H(L)|L(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3) and with the estimate $H(L) \leq \gamma H(P_i) \leq \gamma X_i$ coming from Lemma 1, we conclude that, in this case, the index i is bounded.

Thus, assuming that i is sufficiently large, the polynomials P_i and P_{i+1} are relatively prime and therefore their resultant is a non-zero integer. Using Lemma 2 we then find

$$1 \leq |\text{Res}(P_i, P_{i+1})| \leq 6X_i X_{i+1} (cX_i X_{i+2}^{-\gamma^2} + cX_{i+1}^{-\gamma}) \leq 6c_1 X_i X_{i+1}^{1-\gamma}$$

since from (2), we have $cX_i \leq (c_1 - c)X_{i+1}$ for large i . Using (2) again, this implies

$$1 \leq 6c_1(2c_1)^{1/\gamma}$$

and thus, $c_1 \geq c_0 = (6 \cdot 2^{1/\gamma})^{-1/\gamma}$. The choice of $c_1 > c$ being arbitrary, this shows that $c \geq c_0$ as announced.

4. PROOF OF THE COROLLARY

Let ξ be as in the statement of the corollary and let V denote the real vector space of polynomials of degree at most 2 in $\mathbb{R}[T]$. It follows from the theorem that there exist arbitrarily large real numbers X for which the convex body $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of V defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(X) = \{P \in V; |P(\xi)| \leq (1/4)X^{-\gamma^2}, |P'(\xi)| \leq c_1 X \text{ and } |P''(\xi)| \leq c_1 X\}$$

with $c_1 = (1 + |\xi|)^{-2}$ contains no non-zero integral polynomial. By Proposition 3.5 of [7] (a version of Mahler's theorem on polar reciprocal bodies), this implies that there exists a constant $c_2 > 1$ such that, for the same values of X , the convex body

$$\mathcal{C}^*(X) = \{P \in V; |P(\xi)| \leq c_2 X^{-1}, |P'(\xi)| \leq c_2 X^{-1} \text{ and } |P''(\xi)| \leq c_2 X^{\gamma^2}\}$$

contains a basis of the lattice of integral polynomials in V .

Fix such an X with $X \geq 1$, and let $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \subset \mathcal{C}^*(X)$ be a basis of $V \cap \mathbb{Z}[T]$. We now argue as in the proof of Proposition 9.1 of [7]. We put

$$B(T) = T^2 - 1, \quad r = X^{-(1+\gamma^2)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad s = 20c_2 X^{-1},$$

and observe that any polynomial $S \in V$ with $H(S - B) < 1/3$ admits at least two real roots in the interval $[-2, 2]$ as such a polynomial takes positive values at ± 2 and a negative value at 0. We also note that, since $P_i \in \mathcal{C}^*(X)$, we have

$$H(P_i(rT + \xi)) \leq c_2 X^{-1}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Since $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ is a basis of V over $\mathbb{R}$, we may write

$$(T - \xi)^3 + sB\left(\frac{T - \xi}{r}\right) = T^3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i P_i(T) \quad \text{and} \quad sB\left(\frac{T - \xi}{r}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i P_i(T)$$

for some real numbers $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and η_1, η_2, η_3 . For $i = 1, 2, 3$, choose integers a_i and b_i with $|a_i - \theta_i| \leq 2$ and $|b_i - \eta_i| \leq 2$ so that the polynomials

$$P(T) = T^3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i P_i(T) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(T) = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i P_i(T)$$

are respectively congruent to $T^3 + 2$ and $T^2 + 2$ modulo 4. Then, by Eisenstein's criterion, P and Q are irreducible polynomials of $\mathbb{Z}[T]$. Moreover, we find

$$\begin{aligned} H(s^{-1}P(rT + \xi) - B(T)) &= s^{-1}H\left((rT)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i - \theta_i)P_i(rT + \xi)\right) \\ &\leq s^{-1} \max\{r^3, 6c_2 X^{-1}\} \\ &< 1/3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $P(rT + \xi)$ has at least two distinct real roots in the interval $[-2, 2]$ and so P has at least two real roots whose distance to ξ are at most $2r$. A similar but simpler computation shows that the same is true of the polynomial Q . Finally, the above estimate implies $H(P(rT + \xi)) \leq 4s/3$ and so $H(P) \leq c_3 X^{\gamma^2}$ for some constant $c_3 > 0$, and the same for Q . These polynomials thus satisfy the conclusion of the corollary with $Y = c_3 X^{\gamma^2}$ and an appropriate choice of c .

REFERENCES

- [1] Brownawell, W. D., Sequences of diophantine approximations, *J. Number Theory* **6** (1974), 11-21.
- [2] Bugeaud, Y., Teulié, O., Approximation d'un nombre réel par des nombres algébriques de degré donné, *Acta Arith.* **93** (2000), 77-86.
- [3] Davenport, H., Schmidt, W. M., Approximation to real numbers by quadratic irrationals, *Acta Arith.* **13** (1967), 169-176.
- [4] Davenport, H., Schmidt, W. M., Approximation to real numbers by algebraic integers, *Acta Arith.* **15** (1969), 393-416.
- [5] Laurent, M., Roy, D., Criteria of algebraic independence with multiplicities and interpolation determinants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), 1845-1870.
- [6] Roy, D., Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers I, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (to appear), 22 pages, arXiv:math.NT/0210181.
- [7] Roy, D., Waldschmidt, M., Diophantine approximation by conjugate algebraic integers, *Compositio Math.*, (to appear), 31 pages, arXiv:math.NT/0207102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE OUEST, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC H3A 2K6, CANADA

E-mail address: arbour@math.mcgill.ca

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ D'OTTAWA, 585 KING EDWARD, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1N 6N5, CANADA

E-mail address: droy@uottawa.ca